http://www.srz.com/files/News/40134e63-7cbd-48be-a559-3bccd37e71e3/Presentation/NewsAttachment/1a548833-a931-4430-af31-3c2d2af422e4/Bloomberg_Brief_%20Private_Equity_Q%26A_Most_Fund_Managers_Avoiding_General_Solicitation_Says_Schult.pdf



Private Equity

09.17.14 WEDNESDAY

Q&A Most Fund Managers Avoiding General Solicitation, Says Schulte Roth's Breslow

Stephanie Breslow, a partner at Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP, says most general partners are not using general solicitation to raise funds and niche strategies like bitcoin funds are attracting Investor interest. She spoke with Sabrina Willmer.

Q: Do GPs again have the upper hand in fund negotiations given the improving fundraising environment?

A: It's always going to be the case that some GPs have more power than others because they are well established and oversubscribed. In general, however, LPs still have quite a lot of power, and are getting more favorable terms overall. Certainty there has been a move to 100 percent transaction fee offset and there has been regulatory impetus for this to avoid potentially being treated as a broker-dealer. The move towards European-style waterfalls (which return all invested capital plus a preferred return to investors before the GP gets carry) continues. If you are launching a first-time fund it is quite likely you have to use a European-style waterfall. Some other changes are happening around the edges of fund terms. For example, there are nuances to indemnity and exculpation provisions such as not covering disputes among GPs and not advancing expenses when a significant portion of LPs challenge an indemnity claim. Side letters are also getting longer and more investors

Q: Are you seeing any changes made to fund documents in light of the SEC's focus on disclosure?

A: There is more disclosure of how coinvestment opportunities will be allocated. There is also more detailed disclosure of which regulatory expenses are charged to a fund versus the GP. GPs are experiencing some of these regulatory expenses for the tirst time such as those relating to the Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act and AIFMD. There is more disclosure around fees and which ones don't offset the management fee. Enhanced disclosures typically appear in the fund's offering memo, but some also affect the LPA. For funds that have already launched, enhanced disclosures can appear in letters to investors or financial statements.

Q: How else are you advising clients in response to the SEC scrutiny?

A: Now GPs are having to be more formal about a lot of things such as making sure marketing pieces are vetted by tawyers so they match up more accurately with marketing memos and tracking compliance with side letters. The CFTC just now amended their rules to harmonize with the SEC rules, which is heloful.

Q:The SEC hasn't provided clear guidance on how co-investments should be treated. What are your clients doing?

A: At the moment, we are treating allocation of co-investment opportunities as a disclosure issue. Given there has been interest in it, GPs are tending to write more robusity about whether all investors get co-investments or just some, as well as the fees charged to co-investors.

Q: What other regulations are a focus for clients?

A: AIFMD definitely. There is no uniformity among European countries as to how the rules are implemented. Many funds are relying on reverse solicitation rather than registering. GPs often already have relationships with European investors

and those investors likely want to come into the next fund. It is trickler to rely on reverse solicitation for new relationships.

Q: Have clients taken advantage of general solicitation?

A: It is something very few GPs in the PE space are taking advantage of. It doesn't mesh well with other rules, and the regulatory consequences of using this approach have not been fully developed. For example, if you have a fund with commodities and futures exposure, there is no relief from the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission about marketing this way without moving to a more restrictive regulatory regime.

Q: What types of strategies are attracting the most interest from investors?

A: Constructive activist funds and distressed strategies leap to mind. Energy has also been very hot, including mining. In general, I have seen people succeeding in raising niche PE funds in unusual sectors such as litigation finance and venture investing in bitcoin. We worked on a North Dakota-focused real estate fund that is taking advantage of the oil boom that is driving demand for housing. Midmarket real estate is also a popular strategy. One other notable trend is the move on the part of large investors to concentrate their investments across fewer funds. This gives an advantage to large, established GPs.

AT A BILARICE



Grew Up: Englewood, New Jersey

Education: Harvard University (BA); Columbia Law School (JD)

Recommended Book: The Moral Animal by Robert Wright

Favorite Recent Movie: Boyhood

Favorite Restaurant: 508 Gastro Pub, Soho (NYC)

If you had another career, it would be: Figurative painter

Protes from Biscenberg Bribb, September 17, 2014, copyright by Biscenberg L.P. with all rights reserved.
This reprint implies no endocument, wher facil or expressed of any company, product, service or investment apportunits.
AC31415 Nameged by The YGS Group, 800 298,5460. For more information wait were the YGS group combot than

Schulte Roth&Zabel

New York | Washington DC | London | www.srz.com

This Memorandum has been prepared solely for the information of the person to whom it has been delivered by or on behalf of the Fund, and should not be reproduced or used for any other purpose.

The Fund may accept investments from employee benefit plans subject to Part 4 of Title I of the U.S. Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (ERISA), plans or accounts subject to Section 4975 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), insurance company general and separate accounts and entities the underlying assets of which include plan assets (i.e. "Benefit Plan Investors" as defined under ERISA). However, the Fund does not anticipate that its assets will be subject to Title I of ERISA or Section 4975 of the Code, because it intends to limit investments in the Fund by Benefit Plan Investors. Generally, assets of an entity like the Fund will not be subject to Title I of ERISA or section 4975 of the Code, if Benefit Plan investors own less than 25 per cent of the value of any Class of equity interests in the Fund, excluding from this calculation any non-Benefit Plan Investor interests held by the Investment Advisors and certain affiliated persons or entities. No subscriptions for Shares made by Benefit Plan Investors will be accepted and no transfers of Shares will be permitted to the extent that the investment or transfer would result in the Fund's assets becoming subject to Title of ERISA or section 4975 of the Code. In addition, because the 25 per cent limit is to be calculated upon every subscription to or redemption from the Fund, the Fund has the authority to require the compulsory redemption of Shares of any Class to ensure that the Fund is not subject to Title I of ERISA or section 4975 of the Code.

Generally: The distribution of this Memorandum and the offering of Shares may be restricted in certain jurisdictions. The above information is for general guidance only, and it is the responsibility of any person or persons in possession of this Memorandum and wishing to make application for Shares to inform themselves of, and to observe, all applicable laws and regulations of any relevant jurisdiction. Prospective applicants for Shares should inform themselves as to legal requirements also applying and any applicable exchange control regulations and applicable taxes in the countries of their respective citizenship, residence or domicile.

This Memorandum does not constitute an offer or solicitation to any person in any jurisdiction in which such offer or solicitation is not authorised or to any person to whom it would be unlawful to make such offer or solicitation.

